





2016 Optically-based Monitoring South River, VA

Preliminary Pesults

Draft

July 26, 2016


South River Pilot Study

- Deployed at RRM 11 (Augusta Forestry Center)
- One to two month
- AECOM sampling for calibration/validation





Field Study - In Stu Monitoring



Field Study – Discrete Sampling

April 1: 08:00 – 18:00 (8 samples, 2 field duplicates)

April 7: 10:00 – 14:00 (4 samples, 1 field duplicate)

April 14: 10:00 – 14:00 (4 samples, 1 field duplicate)

April 21: 10:00 – 14:00 (4 samples, 1 field duplicate)

April 28: 08:00 – 18:00 (8 samples, 2 field duplicates)

May 3: 10:00 – 14:00 (4 samples, 1 field duplicate)

May 5: 08:00 – 18:00 (4 samples, 2 field duplicates) STORM

May 6: 10:00 – 14:00 (4 samples, 1 field duplicate) STORM


May 10: 12:00

May 11: 08:00

May 12: 14:00




Prediction (Optical) Variables





- = Discrete Samples
- O = Discrete Field Duplicates

Observation (Discrete) Variables





Optical Model Pesults

Model

%Error

3.40

CV(RMSE)

0.14

p-value

< 0.05

Constituent

TSS

 R^2

0.98


Analytical

CV(RMSE)

0.08

	POC	0.96	< 0.05	17.92	0.15	0.19	Discrete samples generally below MDL
•	PIC	0.97	< 0.05	4.17	0.15	0.12	Sciow Wibe
	DOC	0.90	< 0.05	1.46	0.10	0.22	
	dHg	0.74	< 0.05	70.75	0.75	0.57	
	tHg	0.92	< 0.05	6.75	0.25	0.67	
	pHg	0.85	< 0.05	16.92	0.34	0.83	
•	dMeHg	0.70	< 0.05	5.56	0.22	0.17	pHg and pMeHg errors from differences between total and dissolved
	tMeHg	0.90	< 0.05	2.14	0.13	0.18	
	рМеНg	0.86	< 0.05	28.80	0.32	0.43	
R ² = 0.98 CV(RMSE) = 0.14 p-val < 0.05 0 10 20 TSS _{meas}	6 R ² = 0. CV(RN p-val <	96 ISE) = 0.15 c 0.05	4 6	240 R ² = 0.74 CV(RMSE) p-val < 0.09 80 0	= 0.75 5 80 160 dHg _{meas}	H9 _{mod}	R ² = 0.92 CV(RMSE) = 0.25 p-val < 0.05
R ² = 0.97 CV(RMSE) = 0.15 p-val < 0.05	1.8 R ² = 0. CV(RM p-val <	9 (SE) = 0.096 : 0.05	1.2 1.8	1.5 R ² = 0.7 CV(RMSE) p-val < 0.00	= 0.22	AeHgmod	R ² = 0.9 CV(RMSE) = 0.13 p.yal < 0.05


Optical Model Results



- = Discrete Samples
- O = Discrete Field Duplicates
- = Model Results



Total Suspended Solid, Particulate Inorganic and Organic Carbon, Dissolved Organic Carbon




O = Discrete Samples

- = Model Results



Mercury




O = Discrete Samples

- = Model Results



Methyl Mercury



O = Discrete Samples

- = Model Results



Summary of Preliminary Findings

- Successful development of robust optical models with model error within the range of analytical error except for dissolved Hg
- Large instruments (ac-s, LISST) not necessary for robust PLS models for particulates and, potentially for dissolved
 - Smaller, less costly, more versatile instrument suite can be deployed
- Particulates and particulate phase Hg and MeHg concentrations closely tied to flow
 - Suggests engagement of bank and bar areas during higher water/flow events is important
- Methyl Mercury
 - Majority of MeHg is dissolved under baseflow; concentrations possibly related to temperature
 - During peak storm flows, particulate MeHg is more prominent and dissolved MeHg concentrations decrease