

Questions to Guide Expert Panel Feedback

1. Have we sufficiently characterized the South River aquatic environment?
 - Consensus on predominant pathways by which IHg & other constituents/conditions for methylation enter & move through aquatic system to sites of methylation
 - Consensus on how Hg subsequently bioaccumulates within the food web to fish?
2. Are we considering an appropriate blend of innovative watershed management & remedial technology options for managing risk & reducing MeHg levels in fish?
 - Overlooking opportunities to modify critical methylmercury production compartments/processes or bioaccumulation pathways that will reduce MeHg concs. in South River biota?
3. Have we collected & analyzed sufficient data to reach a consensus understanding of fate & dynamics of Hg in the terrestrial environment adjacent to the South River?

Clarification Questions for Panel Feedback

- A. What are key uncertainties (ranked as H, M, & L), along with the rationale for ranking?**
- High: Further study and data collection required
 - Medium: Additional data would be helpful, but not required
 - Low: Further study is probably not warranted
- B. How can we close knowledge/understanding gaps?**
- C. What would laboratory/field studies look like?**
- D. If additional data collection & study are recommended, is it even feasible to collect these data?**