South River Science Team Human Exposure Pathways Evaluation

Activities Update April 22, 2009

The key objectives:

- better identify potential exposure,
- define potential risks and uncertainties,
- communicate information to the public.

Exposure Team Members

DEQ:

Don Kain, Calvin Jordan, Bill Hayden

EPA

Betty Ann Quinn

VDH

Doug Larsen, Jonathan Falk, Ram Tripathi, New Members: Dwight Flamina, Kate McManus, (Thanks to Michele Monti who has left VDH)

DGIF

Steve Reeser, Paul Bugus

DuPont

Mike Liberatti, Ralph Stahl, Annette Guiseppi-Elie, Tim Ireland

Exposure scenarios to be evaluated

- √ Fish Consumption
- √ Recreational Use of River
- √ Contact with soils on the floodplain
- √ Potential Drinking Water exposures
- Potential dietary exposures
 - Domestic consumption, e.g., Garden crops, Beef, Poultry
 - Hunting consumption, e.g., waterfowl, small game

Communicating to public on these issues

Fact Sheets

- √ Initial fact sheets in final review
 - Fact Sheet 1: General Introduction
 - About the South River Science Team
 - Fact Sheet 2: Exposure Summary
 - People, Mercury, and the River
- Other Fact Sheets, as warranted
 - Potential for 2009
 - Soil sampling results (as relevant to human exposure)
 - Wildlife

Floodplain soils

- Potential exposure evaluated:
 - $\sqrt{\text{direct contact (soil sampling)}};$
 - $\sqrt{1}$ ingestion of garden crops (2-year garden study);
 - $\sqrt{}$ ambient air (2 rounds of ambient air sampling)
 - Next steps communicating results
 - √ General conclusions included in fact sheet,
 - Developing letters to landowners,
 - Specific results on soil samples
 - General conclusions
 - Evaluating other "direct" communication options
 - Fact sheet on soil sampling
 - Peer review publication of garden crop study

Potential dietary exposures

- Domestic consumption, e.g., Beef, Poultry
- Hunting consumption, e.g., waterfowl, small game
- √ Literature review of tissue levels completed
 - Includes domestic & game animals, total and methyl mercury
 - In general, results consistent with expectations
- √ Waterfowl samples results available.
 - 10 Canada geese, 10 Mallards, 2 Wood ducks
 - In general, results consistent with expectations
- Next steps:
 - Awaiting results of small game analysis
 - Evaluate need & options for additional investigation, if warranted
 - Use results of literature as well as sampling to inform

Health survey at local clinics

- √ Local physicians (explicitly made aware of issue) have not reported any signs/symptoms
- √ Local health clinics have been provided literature (in both Spanish and English)
- Health survey to address effectiveness of consumption advisories (in progress)

Communication

- Disseminate information to the public
- Opportunities:
 - √ Fish advisory signs/information kiosks
 - √ Information to local health clinics
 - √ Information to local physicians
 - Fact Sheets (2 near completion)
 - Communications to landowners (in progress)
 - Meetings with local officials
 - Community advisory panel (in progress)