South River Science Team Human Exposure Pathways Evaluation

Activities Update July 21, 2009

The key objectives:

- better identify potential exposure,
- define potential risks and uncertainties,
- communicate information to the public.

Exposure Team Members

DEQ: Don Kain, Calvin Jordan, Bill Hayden

EPA: Betty Ann Quinn

VDH: Doug Larsen, Jonathan Falk, Ram Tripathi, Dwight Flammia New Member: Julia Murphy (Thanks to Cate McManus has left VDH)

DGIF: Steve Reeser, Paul Bugus New Member: Cale Godfrey (?)

DuPont: Mike Liberati, Ralph Stahl, Annette Guiseppi-Elie, Tim Ireland

DACS: David Brown

Exposure scenarios to be evaluated

- $\sqrt{\text{Fish Consumption}}$
- $\sqrt{\text{Recreational Use of River}}$
- $\sqrt{\rm Contact}$ with soils on the floodplain
- $\sqrt{\text{Potential Drinking Water exposures}}$
- Potential dietary exposures
 - Domestic consumption, e.g., Garden crops, Beef, Poultry
 - Hunting consumption, e.g., waterfowl, small game
- Communicating to public on these issues

Fact Sheets

 $\sqrt{1}$ Initial fact sheets in final review

- $\sqrt{Fact Sheet 1}$: General Introduction
 - About the South River Science Team
- Fact Sheet 2: Exposure Summary
 - People, Mercury, and the River
- Other Fact Sheets, as warranted
 - Potential for 2009
 - Soil sampling results (as relevant to human exposure)
 - Wildlife

Floodplain soils

- Potential exposure evaluated:
 - $\sqrt{}$ direct contact (soil sampling);
 - $\sqrt{}$ ingestion of garden crops (2-year garden study);
 - $\sqrt{1}$ ambient air (2 rounds of ambient air sampling)
 - Next steps communicating results
 - $\boldsymbol{\sqrt{}}$ General conclusions included in fact sheet,
 - Developing letters to landowners,
 - Specific results on soil samples
 - General conclusions
 - Evaluating other "direct" communication options
 - Fact sheet on soil sampling
 - Peer review publication of garden crop study

Potential dietary exposures

- Domestic consumption, e.g., Beef, Poultry
- Hunting consumption, e.g., waterfowl, small game
- $\sqrt{}$ Literature review of tissue levels completed
 - Includes domestic & game animals, total and methyl mercury
 - In general, results consistent with expectations
- $\sqrt{}$ Waterfowl samples results available
 - 10 Canada geese, 10 Mallards, 2 Wood ducks
 - In general, results consistent with expectations
- $\sqrt{\text{Results of small game analysis}}$
 - Muskrats, squirrels available
- Meeting of the Task Team June 3
 - Evaluate need & options for additional investigation, if warranted
 - Use results of literature as well as sampling to inform

Potential dietary exposures

- For livestock evaluation, sampling plan for cattle that graze on the floodplain based on likely exposure scenarios will be developed. Considerations for developing the plan include:
 - How cattle are used and consumed
 - How milk from cattle is used/consumed
 - Defining which cattle actually graze on the floodplain
 - Use of the VDACS post-mortem facilities for determining general background levels as well as potential floodplain animals
 - Incorporating background levels in supermarket beef products
 - Rationale for choosing cattle (versus goats, sheep, pigs, poultry)
- A sub-team (Jordan, Quinn, Guiseppi-Elie, and Brown) will draft plan over next two – three months to share with rest of the team.

Potential dietary exposures

- For wildlife evaluation, sampling plan for deer that graze on the floodplain based on likely exposure scenarios will be developed. Considerations for developing the plan include:
 - Rationale for choosing deer (versus, for example, raccoons, mourning doves, opossum, turkey, etc)
 - Potential supplemental sampling of Wood ducks.
 - Results of prior wildlife (waterfowl, squirrels, muskrats, snapping turtles)
- A sub-team (Jordan, Quinn, Guiseppi-Elie, and Bugas) will draft plan over next two – three months to share with rest of the team. Study to take place in the next six months.

Health survey at local clinics

- $\sqrt{\text{Local physicians (explicitly made aware of issue) have not reported any signs/symptoms$
- Health survey to address effectiveness of consumption advisories (in progress)

Communication

- Disseminate information to the public
- Opportunities:
 - $\sqrt{\rm Fish}$ advisory signs/information kiosks
 - $\sqrt{1}$ Information to local health clinics
 - $\sqrt{}$ Information to local physicians
 - Fact Sheets (2 near completion)
 - Communications to landowners (in progress)
 - Meetings with local officials
 - Community advisory panel (in progress)